HEAD OFFICE – CAIRNS
ETS GEO PTY LTD
ABN: 16 121 817 794
07 4047 8600
admin@etsgeo.com.au
PO Box 587
REDLYNCH QLD 4870

130 Buchan Street
Cairns QLD 4870

SITE CLASSIFICATION REPORT
325 POI POI STREET BAMAGA QLD 4876

CUSTOMER:	Struxi Design Pty Ltd.	**REPORT:**	GT23-292-006R REV 1
POSTAL ADDRESS:	Suite 12 Level 1, 203 Margareta Street	**DATE:**	18th December 2023
	Toowoomba QLD 4350	**ORDER No:**	NA
INSPECTION DATE:	28th November 2023		

1. AUTHORISATION & SCOPE

A site investigation was undertaken at **325 POI POI STREET BAMAGA** to determine the foundation conditions and classify the site for a proposed three (3) bedroom low-set dwelling.

The investigation was requested and authorised by the Client.

PHOTOGRAPH 1: - View of 325 Poi Poi Street, Bamaga

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION
Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
00006982
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

SITE CLASSIFICATION REPORT
325 POI POI STREET BAMAGA QLD 4876

2. SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 present a locality plan of the site that also shows the test location.

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION
Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
mob: 0448 377 072
phone: 07 4091 3267
web: www.bakerbuildingcert.com.au
address: 5/154 Robert Street, Atherton
postal: PO Box 1083, Tolga 4882

00006982

Clayton Baker

APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

FIGURE 1 – SITE AND TEST LOCATION

SITE CLASSIFICATION REPORT
325 POI POI STREET BAMAGA QLD 4876

At the time of investigation, the following geotechnical features were observed:

a)	**Vegetation:**	The proposed development area is relatively level and had a scattered covering of decayed grass.
b)	**Water Table:**	At the time of the investigation, groundwater was not encountered. However, it should be noted, that groundwater levels are affected by climatic conditions, tidal fluctuations and by soil permeability, therefore groundwater levels may vary with time.
c)	**Drainage:**	The proposed building site was visually assessed as FAIR in terms of drainage conditions.
d)	**Other Significant Features:**	None noted.

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION
Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
00006982
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

3. SITE INVESTIGATION / TESTING

Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) testing was completed at the location shown on the attached site plan to aid in determining the foundation strength of encountered soil. A copy of the DCP results is shown on the attached test pit log.

One (1) test pit (TP1) was excavated using a five (5) tonne excavator to determine the soil profile and to recover disturbed samples for laboratory testing. The test pit was excavated to a depth of two (2) metres and terminated due to target depth being reached. The test pit log is attached to this report.

4. LABORATORY TESTING

Disturbed material samples were recovered from the test location and laboratory testing was performed on the selected sample.

Soil encountered during investigation is slightly reactive to changes in moisture content resulting in a site classification - **Class 'S' category (<20mm)**.

5. BEARING CAPACITY

An allowable bearing capacity of 100kPa was **achieved** at the site. The assessment of bearing capacity is based on an assumed depth to width ratio equal to at least 1.

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
mob: 0448 377 172
phone: 07 4241 3267
web: www.bakerbuildingcert.com.au
address: 5/114 Robert Street, Atherton
postal: PO Box 1083, Tolga 4882
00006982
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

6. SITE CLASSIFICATION

Based on the site conditions encountered, soil tests completed and observations on-site, the subject site is classified **CLASS – S** in accordance with Australian Standard 2870-2011 "Residential Slabs and Footings – Construction".

This classification does not take into consideration the influence of trees (existing and / or future).

This classification is subject to review should any cut earthworks in excess of 0.4m or any filling be carried out.

The Structural Engineer should adhere to the requirements of AS 2870 "Residential Slabs & Footings – Construction" in relation to the founding of footings below the line of influence of an existing feature/excavation (e.g., retaining walls, underground services, effluent pits, unsupported batters, creeks, etc.).

Footing design and construction involves a number of steps: site classification, selection of the footing system, structural design, construction in accordance with the required design details and construction methods, and proper maintenance. In addition to the builder, this process may involve an engineer, the Building Authority, the owner, and all parties who share responsibility for any failure. In particular, the owner has a responsibility to ensure the site is properly maintained.

7. CONDITIONS OF CLASSIFICATION

The site classification is subject to the following conditions:

a) Site drainage is to be provided to direct stormwater away from footings and walls.

b) Trees and vegetation are to be located away from the footing and walls.

Attention is drawn to the present or any future owners of their responsibilities for foundation maintenance as detailed in A.S. 2870 (Appendix A) and CSIRO Brochure "Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide."

8. SITE RECOMMENDATIONS

The ground surface immediately surrounding the proposed building is to be graded away from the structure with a fall of 1:20 for the first 1.0 metres to ensure adequate drainage away from structural foundations.

SITE CLASSIFICATION REPORT
325 POI POI STREET BAMAGA QLD 4876

9 CONSTRUCTION INSPECTIONS

Footing excavations and earthworks shall be inspected by ETS Geo to confirm design assumptions prior to placement of reinforcing steel and pouring of concrete.

10 LIMITATIONS

As this investigation is limited in scope and extent, it is possible that areas may exist which differ from those shown on the test hole records and used in the site classification. Should any variation from the reported conditions be encountered during excavation work, ETS Geo Pty Ltd shall be notified immediately so that reappraisal of the classification can be made.

We have prepared this report for the use of **STRUXI DESIGN PTY LTD** for design purposes in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report. This report has not been prepared for use by parties other than **STRUXI DESIGN PTY LTD** It may not contain sufficient information for purposes of other parties or for other uses.

Your attention is drawn to the document - "Understand the Limitations of Your Geotechnical Report", which is included in this report. This document has been prepared to advise you of what your realistic expectations of this report should be, and to present you with recommendations on how to minimise the risks associated with the ground works for this project. The document is not intended to reduce the level of responsibility accepted by ETS, but rather to ensure that all parties who may rely on this report are aware of the responsibilities each assumes in so doing.

M. Ganza

SIGNED: _____

Michael Ganza (RPEQ 4449)
MANAGING DIRECTOR

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION | Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
00006982
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

References:

1. A.S.1726:2017 – Geotechnical Site Investigations
2. A.S. 2870:2011 Residential Slabs and Footings - Construction.
3. A.S. 3798:2007 - Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments.

Attached:

1. Test pit and DCP Log
2. Understanding the Limitations of your Geotechnical Report
3. CSIRO Brochure " Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide".

CLIENT:	Struxi	**SHEET:**	1 of 1
PROJECT:	NPARC Community Housing Project	**PROJECT NO.:**	GT23-292
		DATE:	November 28, 2023
TEST PIT NO.:	Lot 325 Poi Poi St	**REVIEWER:**	MPG
LOGGED BY:	MSG	**ELEVATION:**	
EQUIPMENT:	Mini Excavator	**LATITUDE:**	-10.8912
PIT DIMENSIONS:	300mm	**LONGITUDE:**	142.39111

ETS GEOTECHNICAL

Depth (m)	Symbol	Lithologic Description	DCP (Blows/100mm) 0 5 10 15 20	Samples No.	Samples Type	Atterberg Limits LL (%)	Atterberg Limits PL (%)	% Gravel	% Sand	% Fines	CBR (%)	Moisture (%)	Comments / Additional Notes
0		**Gravelly Sandy CLAY (CL)** low plastic fines, orange brown, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to coarse gravel, dry. 0.3 m	8, 7, 4, 3, 3		B								Groundwater not encountered
		Sandy CLAY (CL) firm to stiff, brown, low plasticity, fine to coarse grained sand, moist. 0.35 m; orange brown 0.8 m	5, 3, 6, 5, 5, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 7, 4, 4										
1		**GRAVEL (GW-GC)** medium dense, orange brown, with low plastic fines, trace of fine to coarse grained sand, trace of cobbles. 2 m											
2		Test Pit terminated at 2m (target depth)											
3													

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION — Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
email: 0448 377 172
phone: 07 4091 3287
web: www.bakerbuildingcert.com.au
address: 3/154 Robert Street, Atherton
postal: PO Box 1083, Tolga 4882

00006982

Clayton Baker

APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

HEAD OFFICE – CAIRNS
ETS GEO PTY LTD
ABN: 16 121 817 794
07 4047 8600
admin@etsgeo.com.au
PO Box 587
REDLYNCH QLD 4870

23 Knight Street
Portsmith QLD 4870

UNDERSTAND THE LIMITATIONS OF YOUR GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

This report is based on project details as provided to ETS Geo Pty Ltd at the time of commission. It therefore applies only to the site investigated, and to the specific set of project requirements as understood by ETS Geo Pty Ltd.

If there are changes to the project, you need to advise us in order that the effect of the changes on the report recommendations can be adequately assessed. ETS Geo Pty Ltd cannot take responsibility for problems that may occur due to project changes if we are not consulted.

It is important to remember that the subsurface conditions described in the report represent the state of the site at the time of investigation. Natural processes and the activities of man can result in changes to site conditions. For example, ground water levels can change, or fill can be placed on a site after the investigation is completed. If there is a possibility that conditions may have changed with time, ETS Geo Pty Ltd should be consulted to assess the impact on the recommendations of the report.

The site investigation only identifies the actual subsurface conditions at the location and time when the samples were taken. Geologists and engineers then extrapolate between the investigation points to provide an assumed three-dimensional picture of the site conditions. The report assumes that the site conditions as identified at the investigation locations are representative of the actual conditions throughout an area. This may not be the case and actual conditions may differ from those inferred to exist. This will not be known until construction has commenced. Your geotechnical report and the recommendations contained within it can therefore only be regarded as preliminary.

In the event that conditions encountered during construction differ from those described in the report, ETS Geo Pty Ltd should be consulted immediately. Although little can be done to change the actual site conditions which exist, steps can be taken to ameliorate the impact of unexpected conditions. For this reason, the services of ETS Geo Pty Ltd should be retained throughout the development stage of the project.

Problems can occur when other design professionals misinterpret a report. To help avoid this, ETS Geo Pty Ltd should be retained for liaison with other design professionals to explain the implications of the report.

This report should be retained as a complete document and should not be copied in part, divided, or altered in any way.

It is recommended that the services or ETS Geo Pty Ltd are retained during the construction phase to confirm that conditions encountered are consistent with design assumptions. For example, this may involve assessment of bearing capacity for footings, stability of natural slopes or excavations or advice on temporary construction conditions.

This document has been produced to help all parties involved recognise their individual responsibilities.

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION
Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE

00006982

Clayton Baker

APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide

CSIRO

BTF 18 replaces Information Sheet 10/91

Buildings can and often do move. This movement can be up, down, lateral or rotational. The fundamental cause of movement in buildings can usually be related to one or more problems in the foundation soil. It is important for the homeowner to identify the soil type in order to ascertain the measures that should be put in place in order to ensure that problems in the foundation soil can be prevented, thus protecting against building movement.

This Building Technology File is designed to identify causes of soil-related building movement, and to suggest methods of prevention of resultant cracking in buildings.

Soil Types

The types of soils usually present under the topsoil in land zoned for residential buildings can be split into two approximate groups – granular and clay. Quite often, foundation soil is a mixture of both types. The general problems associated with soils having granular content are usually caused by erosion. Clay soils are subject to saturation and swell/shrink problems.

Classifications for a given area can generally be obtained by application to the local authority, but these are sometimes unreliable and if there is doubt, a geotechnical report should be commissioned. As most buildings suffering movement problems are founded on clay soils, there is an emphasis on classification of soils according to the amount of swell and shrinkage they experience with variations of water content. The table below is Table 2.1 from AS 2870, the Residential Slab and Footing Code.

Causes of Movement

Settlement due to construction

There are two types of settlement that occur as a result of construction:

- Immediate settlement occurs when a building is first placed on its foundation soil, as a result of compaction of the soil under the weight of the structure. The cohesive quality of clay soil mitigates against this, but granular (particularly sandy) soil is susceptible.
- Consolidation settlement is a feature of clay soil and may take place because of the expulsion of moisture from the soil or because of the soil's lack of resistance to local compressive or shear stresses. This will usually take place during the first few months after construction, but has been known to take many years in exceptional cases.

These problems are the province of the builder and should be taken into consideration as part of the preparation of the site for construction. Building Technology File 19 (BTF 19) deals with these problems.

Erosion

All soils are prone to erosion, but sandy soil is particularly susceptible to being washed away. Even clay with a sand component of say 10% or more can suffer from erosion.

Saturation

This is particularly a problem in clay soils. Saturation creates a bog-like suspension of the soil that causes it to lose virtually all of its bearing capacity. To a lesser degree, sand is affected by saturation because saturated sand may undergo a reduction in volume – particularly imported sand fill for bedding and blinding layers. However, this usually occurs as immediate settlement and should normally be the province of the builder.

Seasonal swelling and shrinkage of soil

All clays react to the presence of water by slowly absorbing it, making the soil increase in volume (see table below). The degree of increase varies considerably between different clays, as does the degree of decrease during the subsequent drying out caused by fair weather periods. Because of the low absorption and expulsion rate, this phenomenon will not usually be noticeable unless there are prolonged rainy or dry periods, usually of weeks or months, depending on the land and soil characteristics.

The swelling of soil creates an upward force on the footings of the building, and shrinkage creates subsidence that takes away the support needed by the footing to retain equilibrium.

Shear failure

This phenomenon occurs when the foundation soil does not have sufficient strength to support the weight of the footing. There are two major post-construction causes:

- Significant load increase.
- Reduction of lateral support of the soil under the footing due to erosion or excavation.
- In clay soil, shear failure can be caused by saturation of the soil adjacent to or under the footing.

GENERAL DEFINITIONS OF SITE CLASSES

Class	Foundation
A	Most sand and rock sites with little or no ground movement from moisture changes
S	Slightly reactive clay sites with only slight ground movement from moisture changes
M	Moderately reactive clay or silt sites, which can experience moderate ground movement from moisture changes
H	Highly reactive clay sites, which can experience high ground movement from moisture changes
E	Extremely reactive sites, which can experience extreme ground movement from moisture changes
A to P	Filled sites
P	Sites which include soft soils, such as soft clay or silt or loose sands; landslip; mine subsidence; collapsing soils; soils subject to erosion; reactive sites subject to abnormal moisture conditions or sites which cannot be classified otherwise

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
mob: 0448 377 173
phone: 07 4091 3267
web: www.bakerbuildingcert.com.au
address: 5/154 Robert Street, Atherton
postal: PO Box 1083, Tolga 4882
00006982
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
Private Building Certifiers
mob: 0448 377 972
phone: 07 4099 3267
web: www.bakerbuildingcert.com.au
address: 5/154 Robert Street, Atherton
postal: PO Box 1083, Tolga 4882
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

Tree root growth
Trees and shrubs that are allowed to grow in the vicinity of footings can cause foundation soil movement in two ways:

- Roots that grow under footings may increase in cross-sectional size, exerting upward pressure on footings.
- Roots in the vicinity of footings will absorb much of the moisture in the foundation soil, causing shrinkage or subsidence.

Unevenness of Movement

The types of ground movement described above usually occur unevenly throughout the building's foundation soil. Settlement due to construction tends to be uneven because of:

- Differing compaction of foundation soil prior to construction.
- Differing moisture content of foundation soil prior to construction.

Movement due to non-construction causes is usually more uneven still. Erosion can undermine a footing that traverses the flow or can create the conditions for shear failure by eroding soil adjacent to a footing that runs in the same direction as the flow.

Saturation of clay foundation soil may occur where subfloor walls create a dam that makes water pond. It can also occur wherever there is a source of water near footings in clay soil. This leads to a severe reduction in the strength of the soil which may create local shear failure.

Seasonal swelling and shrinkage of clay soil affects the perimeter of the building first, then gradually spreads to the interior. The swelling process will usually begin at the uphill extreme of the building, or on the weather side where the land is flat. Swelling gradually reaches the interior soil as absorption continues. Shrinkage usually begins where the sun's heat is greatest.

Effects of Uneven Soil Movement on Structures

Erosion and saturation
Erosion removes the support from under footings, tending to create subsidence of the part of the structure under which it occurs. Brickwork walls will resist the stress created by this removal of support by bridging the gap or cantilevering until the bricks or the mortar bedding fail. Older masonry has little resistance. Evidence of failure varies according to circumstances and symptoms may include:

- Step cracking in the mortar beds in the body of the wall or above/below openings such as doors or windows.
- Vertical cracking in the bricks (usually but not necessarily in line with the vertical beds or perpends).

Isolated piers affected by erosion or saturation of foundations will eventually lose contact with the bearers they support and may tilt or fall over. The floors that have lost this support will become bouncy, sometimes rattling ornaments etc.

Seasonal swelling/shrinkage in clay
Swelling foundation soil due to rainy periods first lifts the most exposed extremities of the footing system, then the remainder of the perimeter footings while gradually permeating inside the building footprint to lift internal footings. This swelling first tends to create a dish effect, because the external footings are pushed higher than the internal ones.

The first noticeable symptom may be that the floor appears slightly dished. This is often accompanied by some doors binding on the floor or the door head, together with some cracking of cornice mitres. In buildings with timber flooring supported by bearers and joists, the floor can be bouncy. Externally there may be visible dishing of the hip or ridge lines.

As the moisture absorption process completes its journey to the innermost areas of the building, the internal footings will rise. If the spread of moisture is roughly even, it may be that the symptoms will temporarily disappear, but it is more likely that swelling will be uneven, creating a difference rather than a disappearance in symptoms. In buildings with timber flooring supported by bearers and joists, the isolated piers will rise more easily than the strip footings or piers under walls, creating noticeable doming of flooring.



As the weather pattern changes and the soil begins to dry out, the external footings will be first affected, beginning with the locations where the sun's effect is strongest. This has the effect of lowering the external footings. The doming is accentuated and cracking reduces or disappears where it occurred because of dishing, but other cracks open up. The roof lines may become convex.

Doming and dishing are also affected by weather in other ways. In areas where warm, wet summers and cooler dry winters prevail, water migration tends to be toward the interior and doming will be accentuated, whereas where summers are dry and winters are cold and wet, migration tends to be toward the exterior and the underlying propensity is toward dishing.

Movement caused by tree roots
In general, growing roots will exert an upward pressure on footings, whereas soil subject to drying because of tree or shrub roots will tend to remove support from under footings by inducing shrinkage.

Complications caused by the structure itself
Most forces that the soil causes to be exerted on structures are vertical – i.e. either up or down. However, because these forces are seldom spread evenly around the footings, and because the building resists uneven movement because of its rigidity, forces are exerted from one part of the building to another. The net result of all these forces is usually rotational. This resultant force often complicates the diagnosis because the visible symptoms do not simply reflect the original cause. A common symptom is binding of doors on the vertical member of the frame.

Effects on full masonry structures
Brickwork will resist cracking where it can. It will attempt to span areas that lose support because of subsided foundations or raised points. It is therefore usual to see cracking at weak points, such as openings for windows or doors.

In the event of construction settlement, cracking will usually remain unchanged after the process of settlement has ceased.

With local shear or erosion, cracking will usually continue to develop until the original cause has been remedied, or until the subsidence has completely neutralised the affected portion of footing and the structure has stabilised on other footings that remain effective.

In the case of swell/shrink effects, the brickwork will in some cases return to its original position after completion of a cycle, however it is more likely that the rotational effect will not be exactly reversed, and it is also usual that brickwork will settle in its new position and will resist the forces trying to return it to its original position. This means that in a case where swelling takes place after construction and cracking occurs, the cracking is likely to at least partly remain after the shrink segment of the cycle is complete. Thus, each time the cycle is repeated, the likelihood is that the cracking will become wider until the sections of brickwork become virtually independent.

With repeated cycles, once the cracking is established, if there is no other complication, it is normal for the incidence of cracking to stabilise, as the building has the articulation it needs to cope with the problem. This is by no means always the case, however, and monitoring of cracks in walls and floors should always be treated seriously.

Upheaval caused by growth of tree roots under footings is not a simple vertical shear stress. There is a tendency for the root to also exert lateral forces that attempt to separate sections of brickwork after initial cracking has occurred.

The normal structural arrangement is that the inner leaf of brickwork in the external walls and at least some of the internal walls (depending on the roof type) comprise the load-bearing structure on which any upper floors, ceilings and the roof are supported. In these cases, it is internally visible cracking that should be the main focus of attention, however there are a few examples of dwellings whose external leaf of masonry plays some supporting role, so this should be checked if there is any doubt. In any case, externally visible cracking is important as a guide to stresses on the structure generally, and it should also be remembered that the external walls must be capable of supporting themselves.

Effects on framed structures
Timber or steel framed buildings are less likely to exhibit cracking due to swell/shrink than masonry buildings because of their flexibility. Also, the doming/dishing effects tend to be lower because of the lighter weight of walls. The main risks to framed buildings are encountered because of the isolated pier footings used under walls. Where erosion or saturation cause a footing to fall away, this can double the span which a wall must bridge. This additional stress can create cracking in wall linings, particularly where there is a weak point in the structure caused by a door or window opening. It is, however, unlikely that framed structures will be so stressed as to suffer serious damage without first exhibiting some or all of the above symptoms for a considerable period. The same warning period should apply in the case of upheaval. It should be noted, however, that where framed buildings are supported by strip footings there is only one leaf of brickwork and therefore the externally visible walls are the supporting structure for the building. In this case, the subfloor masonry walls can be expected to behave as full brickwork walls.

Effects on brick veneer structures
Because the load-bearing structure of a brick veneer building is the frame that makes up the interior leaf of the external walls plus perhaps the internal walls, depending on the type of roof, the building can be expected to behave as a framed structure, except that the external masonry will behave in a similar way to the external leaf of a full masonry structure.

Water Service and Drainage

Where a water service pipe, a sewer or stormwater drainage pipe is in the vicinity of a building, a water leak can cause erosion, swelling or saturation of susceptible soil. Even a minuscule leak can be enough to saturate a clay foundation. A leaking tap near a building can have the same effect. In addition, trenches containing pipes can become watercourses even though backfilled, particularly where broken rubble is used as fill. Water that runs along these trenches can be responsible for serious erosion, interstrata seepage into subfloor areas and saturation.

Pipe leakage and trench water flows also encourage tree and shrub roots to the source of water, complicating and exacerbating the problem.
Poor roof plumbing can result in large volumes of rainwater being concentrated in a small area of soil:

- Incorrect falls in roof guttering may result in overflows, as may gutters blocked with leaves etc.
- Corroded guttering or downpipes can spill water to ground.
- Downpipes not positively connected to a proper stormwater collection system will direct a concentration of water to soil that is directly adjacent to footings, sometimes causing large-scale problems such as erosion, saturation and migration of water under the building.

Seriousness of Cracking

In general, most cracking found in masonry walls is a cosmetic nuisance only and can be kept in repair or even ignored. The table below is a reproduction of Table C1 of AS 2870.

AS 2870 also publishes figures relating to cracking in concrete floors, however because wall cracking will u[illegible] significantly earlier than cracking in [illegible] reproduced here.

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION | Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
00006982
Clayton Baker
APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval

Prevention/Cure

Plumbing
Where building movement is caused by water service, roof plumbing, sewer or stormwater failure, the remedy is to repair the problem. It is prudent, however, to consider also rerouting pipes away from the building where possible, and relocating taps to positions where any leakage will not direct water to the building vicinity. Even where gully traps are present, there is sometimes sufficient spill to create erosion or saturation, particularly in modern installations using smaller diameter PVC fixtures. Indeed, some gully traps are not situated directly under the taps that are installed to charge them, with the result that water from the tap may enter the backfilled trench that houses the sewer piping. If the trench has been poorly backfilled, the water will either pond or flow along the bottom of the trench. As these trenches usually run alongside the footings and can be at a similar depth, it is not hard to see how any water that is thus directed into a trench can easily affect the foundation's ability to support footings or even gain entry to the subfloor area.

Ground drainage
In all soils there is the capacity for water to travel on the surface and below it. Surface water flows can be established by inspection during and after heavy or prolonged rain. If necessary, a grated drain system connected to the stormwater collection system is usually an easy solution.

It is, however, sometimes necessary when attempting to prevent water migration that testing be carried out to establish watertable height and subsoil water flows. This subject is referred to in BTF 19 and may properly be regarded as an area for an expert consultant.

Protection of the building perimeter
It is essential to remember that the soil that affects footings extends well beyond the actual building line. Watering of garden plants, shrubs and trees causes some of the most serious water problems.

For this reason, particularly where problems exist or are likely to occur, it is recommended that an apron of paving be installed around as much of the building perimeter as necessary. This paving

CLASSIFICATION OF DAMAGE WITH REFERENCE TO WALLS

Description of typical damage and required repair	Approximate crack width limit (see Note 3)	Damage category
Hairline cracks	<0.1 mm	0
Fine cracks which do not need repair	<1 mm	1
Cracks noticeable but easily filled. Doors and windows stick slightly	<5 mm	2
Cracks can be repaired and possibly a small amount of wall will need to be replaced. Doors and windows stick. Service pipes can fracture. Weathertightness often impaired	5–15 mm (or a number of cracks 3 mm or more in one group)	3
Extensive repair work involving breaking-out and replacing sections of walls, especially over doors and windows. Window and door frames distort. Walls lean or bulge noticeably, some loss of bearing in beams. Service pipes disrupted	15–25 mm but also depend on number of cracks	4

Gardens for a reactive site



should extend outwards a minimum of 900 mm (more in highly reactive soil) and should have a minimum fall away from the building of 1:60. The finished paving should be no less than 100 mm below brick vent bases.

It is prudent to relocate drainage pipes away from this paving, if possible, to avoid complications from future leakage. If this is not practical, earthenware pipes should be replaced by PVC and backfilling should be of the same soil type as the surrounding soil and compacted to the same density.

Except in areas where freezing of water is an issue, it is wise to remove taps in the building area and relocate them well away from the building – preferably not uphill from it (see BTF 19).

It may be desirable to install a grated drain at the outside edge of the paving on the uphill side of the building. If subsoil drainage is needed this can be installed under the surface drain.

Condensation

In buildings with a subfloor void such as where bearers and joists support flooring, insufficient ventilation creates ideal conditions for condensation, particularly where there is little clearance between the floor and the ground. Condensation adds to the moisture already present in the subfloor and significantly slows the process of drying out. Installation of an adequate subfloor ventilation system, either natural or mechanical, is desirable.

Warning: Although this Building Technology File deals with cracking in buildings, it should be said that subfloor moisture can result in the development of other problems, notably:

- Water that is transmitted into masonry, metal or timber building elements causes damage and/or decay to those elements.
- High subfloor humidity and moisture content create an ideal environment for various pests, including termites and spiders.
- Where high moisture levels are transmitted to the flooring and walls, an increase in the dust mite count can ensue within the living areas. Dust mites, as well as dampness in general, can be a health hazard to inhabitants, particularly those who are abnormally susceptible to respiratory ailments.

The garden

The ideal vegetation layout is to have lawn or plants that require only light watering immediately adjacent to the drainage or paving edge, then more demanding plants, shrubs and trees spread out in that order.

Overwatering due to misuse of automatic watering systems is a common cause of saturation and water migration under footings. If it is necessary to use these systems, it is important to remove garden beds to a completely safe distance from buildings.

Existing trees

Where a tree is causing a problem of soil drying or there is the existence or threat of upheaval of footings, if the offending roots are subsidiary and their removal will not significantly damage the tree, they should be severed and a concrete or metal barrier placed vertically in the soil to prevent future root growth in the direction of the building. If it is not possible to remove the relevant roots without damage to the tree, an application to remove the tree should be made to the local authority. A prudent plan is to transplant likely offenders before they become a problem.

Information on trees, plants and shrubs

State departments overseeing agriculture can give information regarding root patterns, volume of water needed and safe distance from buildings of most species. Botanic gardens are also sources of information. For information on plant roots and drains, see Building Technology File 17.

Excavation

Excavation around footings must be properly engineered. Soil supporting footings can only be safely excavated at an angle that allows the soil under the footing to remain stable. This angle is called the angle of repose (or friction) and varies significantly between soil types and conditions. Removal of soil within the angle of repose will cause subsidence.

Remediation

Where erosion has occurred that has washed away soil adjacent to footings, soil of the same classification should be introduced and compacted to the same density. Where footings have been undermined, augmentation or other specialist work may be required. Remediation of footings and foundations is generally the realm of a specialist consultant.

Where isolated footings rise and fall because of swell/shrink effect, the homeowner may be tempted to alleviate floor bounce by filling the gap that has appeared between the bearer and the pier with blocking. The danger here is that when the next swell segment of the cycle occurs, the extra blocking will push the floor up into an accentuated dome and may also cause local shear failure in the soil. If it is necessary to use blocking, it should be by a pair of fine wedges and monitoring should be carried out fortnightly.

This BTF was prepared by John Lewer FAIB, MIAMA, Partner, Construction Diagnosis.

The information in this and other issues in the series was derived from various sources and was believed to be correct when published.

The information is advisory. It is provided in good faith and not claimed to be an exhaustive treatment of the relevant subject.

Further professional advice needs to be obtained before taking any action based on the information provided.

Distributed by

CSIRO PUBLISHING PO Box 1139, Collingwood 3066, Australia

Freecall 1800 645 051 Tel (03) 9662 7666 Fax (03) 9662 7555 www.publish.csiro.au

Email: publishing.sales@csiro.au

© CSIRO 2003. Unauthorised copying of this Building Technology file is prohibited

BAKER BUILDING CERTIFICATION Private Building Certifiers
RESIDENTIAL | COMMERCIAL | INDUSTRIAL | REMOTE
mob: 0448 377 172
phone: 07 4098 3367
web: www.bakerbuildingcert.com.au
address: 5/154 Robert Street, Atherton
postal: PO Box 1083, Tolga 4882

00006982

Clayton Baker

APPROVED in accordance with the Building Act 1975. Refer to the conditions of this approval attached to the Certificate of Compliance. All endorsements made on the plans in red ink are part of this approval